

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/500,603	OOSAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner Devin Hanan	Art Unit 3745	

All Participants:

(1) Devin Hanan.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Dave Spaw (No. 34,732).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 March 2006

Time: 11AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102

Claims discussed:

9 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

Liao et al. (U.S. Patent 6,540,479)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner pointed out how Liao et al. has all of the limitation found in claim 9. Agreement was reached to combine claim 9 and claim 10 to overcome the rejection. Changes are found in supplemental amendment filed 3/16/2006.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)